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## 1 Introduction

Blob algebras [4] are generalisations of the TL algebra [6] regarded as a diagram algebra [3]. They share with TL and with each other a number of combinatorial structures. We collect and summarize some of these here.

Note that this document is just intended to be a useful collection of formulae. Some appropriate references may be missing.

## 2 Structure

Exclude this for now.

## 3 Matrices

For each $d>1$ define $d \times d$ matrix

$$
M_{a, b, c}(d, L, R)=\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
a & b & 0 & & & & & \\
L & {[2]} & 1 & 0 & & & & \\
0 & 1 & {[2]} & 1 & 0 & & & \\
0 & 0 & 1 & {[2]} & 1 & 0 & & \\
& & & & \ddots & & & \\
0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 1 & {[2]} & 1 & 0 \\
0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & {[2]} & R \\
0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & c & c
\end{array}\right)
$$

Thus

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(M_{a, a, c}(d, L, R)\right)=a c \operatorname{det}\left(M_{1,1,1}(d, L, R)\right)
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(M_{a, 1,1}(d, L, R)\right)=a \operatorname{det}\left(M_{[2], 1,1}(d-1,1, R)\right)-L \operatorname{det}\left(M_{[2], 1,1}(d-2,1, R)\right)
$$

In particular

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(M_{[2], 1,1}(d, 1, R)\right)=[2] \operatorname{det}\left(M_{[2], 1,1}(d-1,1, R)\right)-\operatorname{det}\left(M_{[2], 1,1}(d-2,1, R)\right)
$$

As is well known, the recurrence

$$
M(d)=[2] M(d-1)-M(d-2)
$$

is solved by $M(d)=\alpha[r+d]$ for any constants $r$, $\alpha$. Noting that $\operatorname{det}\left(M_{[2], 1,1}(2,1, R)\right)=$ $[2]-R$, if we parametrise by $R=\frac{[r]}{[r+1]}$ we get

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(M_{[2], 1,1}(d, 1, R)\right)=\frac{[r+d]}{[r+1]}
$$

Altogether then

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(M_{1,1,1}(d, L, R)\right)=\frac{[r+d-1]}{[r+1]}-L \frac{[r+d-2]}{[r+1]}
$$

and parameterising by $L=\frac{[l]}{[l+1]}$ we get

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(M_{1,1,1}(d, L, R)\right)=\frac{[l+1][r+d-1]-[l][r+d-2]}{[r+1][l+1]}=\frac{[l+r+d-1]}{[r+1][l+1]}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(M_{L, L, R}(d, L, R)\right)=\frac{[r][l][l+r+d-1]}{[r+1]^{2}[l+1]^{2}}
$$

We also note

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(M_{L, 1,1}(d, 1, R)\right)=\frac{[l][r+d-1]-[l+1][r+d-2]}{[r+1][l+1]}=\frac{[l-(r+d-2)]}{[r+1][l+1]} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define

$$
M^{\prime}(d, L, R)=\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
L & L & 1 & & & & & \\
L & {[2]} & 1 & 0 & & & & \\
1 & 1 & {[2]} & 1 & 0 & & & \\
0 & 0 & 1 & {[2]} & 1 & 0 & & \\
& & & & \ddots & & & \\
0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 1 & {[2]} & 1 & 0 \\
0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & {[2]} & R \\
0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & R & R
\end{array}\right)
$$

Thus
$\operatorname{det}\left(M^{\prime}(d, L, R)\right)=R([2]-L) \operatorname{det}\left(M_{L, 1,1}(d-1,1, R)\right)=\frac{[r][l+2]}{[r+1][l+1]} \frac{[l-(r+d-3)]}{[r+1][l+1]}$

## 4 Combinatorics and generating functions

### 4.1 Bracket sequences and trees

Let $B_{n}$ denote the set of properly nested bracket sequences of $n$ brackets. This begins

$$
\emptyset,\{()\},\{()(),(())\}, \ldots
$$

Write

$$
G(x)=\sum_{n=0} x^{n}\left|B_{n}\right|=x^{0}+x^{2}+2 x^{4}+5 x^{6}+\ldots
$$

for the generating function for the degrees of this sequence of sets - the Catalan numbers [1].

The set of rooted plane trees with $n$ edges is in bijection with $B_{n}$. Noting that every tree with at least one edge may be 'factored' as a tree growing from the root together with a tree growing from the vertex at the end of this edge we have

$$
G(x)=1+x^{2}(G(x))^{2}
$$

so

$$
G(x)=\frac{1-\sqrt{1-4 x^{2}}}{2 x^{2}}
$$

Let $B_{n}^{l}$ denote the set of composites of nested sequences with $l$ propagating lines, with a total of $n$ objects. This array begins

(see [?]).
The tree version of this is a forrest of $l+1$ trees with walls between. Accordingly we have

$$
\sum_{n=0} x^{n}\left|B_{n}^{l}\right|=G(x)(x G(x))^{l}=(G(x))^{l+1} x^{l}
$$

### 4.2 Exclude the rest for now!

Exercise: explain the relevance of all this to blob representation theory.

## 5 James-Murphy Gram determinants

The following recursion was introduced by James and Murphy [2] in case $q=1$. Let $\mu$ be an integer partition (or equivalently a Young diagram), $I_{\mu}$ the set of row positions of $\mu$ from which a box may be removed, and for $i \in I_{\mu}$, let $\mu^{i}$ be the corresponding subdiagram (we follow [5, Appendix B]). Define a function dim from integer partitions to integers by

$$
\operatorname{dim}(1)=1
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{dim} \mu=\sum_{i \in I_{\mu}} \operatorname{dim} \mu^{i}
$$

For $i \in I_{\mu}$ let $J_{i}$ be the set of hook lengths of $\mu$ in the column above the removable box. Define a function from integer partitions to functions of $q$ recursively by

$$
D_{(1)}=1
$$

and

$$
D_{\mu}=\prod_{i \in I_{\mu}} D_{\mu^{i}}\left(q^{x\left(\mu^{i}\right)} \prod_{j \in J_{i}} \frac{[j]}{[j-1]}\right)^{\operatorname{dim} \mu^{i}}
$$

(here $x$ is a function whose details need not concern us for now - see [5, Appendix B] for this, and also for a number of examples).

The point of James-Murphy's contruction is that $D_{\mu}$ is the Gram determinant for the $S_{n}$ Specht module with label $\mu$ or (as noted by James and Mathas) the corresponding Hecke algebra module for general $q$.

Theorem 1 This recursion is solved by the following explicit form in case $\mu=$ $\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)($ and $x=0)$ :

$$
D_{\mu}^{\prime}=\prod_{l=0}^{\mu_{2}-1}\left(\frac{\left[\mu_{1}-l+1\right]}{\left[\mu_{2}-l\right]}\right)^{\left({ }_{1}^{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}}{ }_{l}\right)-\binom{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}}{l-1}}
$$

Proof: (We will just do the cases in which $\left|I_{\mu}\right|=2$.) In this case

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)=\binom{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}}{\mu_{1}}-\binom{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}}{\mu_{1}+1}=\binom{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}}{\mu_{2}}-\binom{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}}{\mu_{2}-1}
$$

Sustituting $D^{\prime}$ for $D$ in the recursion, we require to compute

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{K}=D_{\left(\mu_{1}-1, \mu_{2}\right)}^{\prime} D_{\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}-1\right)}^{\prime}\left(\frac{\left[\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}+2\right]}{\left[\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}+1\right]}\right)^{\binom{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}-1}{\mu_{2}-1}-\binom{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}-1}{\mu_{2}-2}} \\
\left.=\prod_{l=0}^{\mu_{2}-1}\left(\frac{\left[\mu_{1}-l\right]}{\left[\mu_{2}-l\right]}\right)^{\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}-1\right.}\right)-\binom{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}-1}{l-1} \\
\prod_{l=0}^{\mu_{2}-2}\left(\frac{\left[\mu_{1}-l+1\right]}{\left[\mu_{2}-l-1\right]}\right)^{\binom{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}-1}{l}-\left({ }_{l}^{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}-1} l\right)}\left(\frac{\left[\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}+2\right]}{\left[\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}+1\right]}\right)^{\bullet}
\end{gathered}
$$

We need to show that this can be equated with $D_{\mu}^{\prime}$. The first factor has numerator

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{l=0}^{\mu_{2}-1}\left(\frac{\left[\mu_{1}-l\right]}{1}\right)^{\binom{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}-1}{l}-\binom{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}-1}{l-1}}=\prod_{l=1}^{\mu_{2}}\left(\frac{\left[\mu_{1}-l+1\right]}{1}\right)^{\binom{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}-1}{l-1}-\binom{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}-1}{l-2}} \\
= & \chi \prod_{l=0}^{\mu_{2}-1}\left(\frac{\left[\mu_{1}-l+1\right]}{1}\right)^{\binom{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}-1}{l-1}-\left(\mu_{1-2}^{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}-1}\right)} \quad\left(\frac{\left[\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}+1\right]}{1}\right)^{\binom{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}-1}{\mu_{2}-1}-\binom{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}-1}{\mu_{2}-2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we have shifted the dummy $l$ to get the argument as in $D_{\mu}^{\prime}$, then applied appropriate correcting factors to get the range of the product right. In particular we have a correcting factor for the lower limit of the product

$$
\left.\chi=\left(\frac{1}{\left[\mu_{1}+1\right]}\right)^{\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}-1\right.}\right)-\left(\mu_{-2}^{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}-1}\right),=1
$$

The second factor has numerator

$$
\left.\prod_{l=0}^{\mu_{2}-2}\left(\frac{\left[\mu_{1}-l+1\right]}{1}\right)^{\binom{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}-1}{l}-\left(\mu_{1-1}^{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}-1}{ }_{l-1}\right.}\right)=\gamma \prod_{l=0}^{\mu_{2}-1}\left(\frac{\left[\mu_{1}-l+1\right]}{1}\right)^{\binom{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}-1}{l}-\left({ }_{1}^{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}-1} l_{-1}\right)}
$$

where

$$
\gamma=\left(\frac{1}{\left[\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}+2\right]}\right)^{\binom{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}-1}{\mu_{2}-1}-\binom{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}-1}{\mu_{2}-2}}
$$

Thus collecting these numerators we have

$$
\prod_{l=0}^{\mu_{2}-1}\left(\frac{\left[\mu_{1}-l+1\right]}{1}\right)^{\binom{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}-1}{l-1}-\binom{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}-1}{\mu_{2}}+\binom{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}-1}{l}-\binom{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}-1}{l-1}} \quad\left(\frac{\left[\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}+1\right]}{\left[\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}+2\right]}\right)^{\binom{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}-1}{\mu_{2}-1}-\binom{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}-1}{\mu_{2}-2}}
$$

The second factor has denominator

$$
\prod_{l=0}^{\mu_{2}-2}\left(\frac{1}{\left[\mu_{2}-l-1\right]}\right)^{\binom{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}-1}{l}-\binom{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}-1}{l-1}}=\prod_{l=1}^{\mu_{2}-1}\left(\frac{1}{\left[\mu_{2}-l\right]}\right)^{\binom{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}-1}{l-1}-\left({\underset{1}{4}+\mu_{2}-1}_{l-2}\right)}
$$

Noting that

$$
-\binom{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}-1}{l-2}+\binom{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}-1}{l}=\binom{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}}{l}-\binom{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}}{l-1}
$$

altogether we have

$$
\mathcal{K}=\prod_{l=0}^{\mu_{2}-1}\left(\frac{\left[\mu_{1}-l+1\right]}{\left[\mu_{2}-l\right]}\right)^{\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}\right)-\binom{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}}{l-1}}
$$

as required.
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